The compensation of breach of online contract between theory and implementation in Common Law.

MUSTAFA RASHID ISSA

ISHIK UNIVERSTY / LAW DEPARTMENT

law.malaysia1@gmail.com

doi:10.23918/ilic2018.46

Abstract

The researchers are now attracting and paying attention towards the notion of online contract in the relationship of marketing. This study mentions that the compensation of an online contract for online customers was associated to the class of individual value orientation of customers and breach of contract online. 1117 respondents participated in the experiment that belongs to the uk and American university. Results of the study revealed that effect of breach type on compensation and individual value orientation was highly significant. The breach is driven by ability, for cooperative individuals, compensation after breach of contract will be more useful for repairing trust, whereas more useful and effective in case of non-cooperative individuals when integrity is a sole reason of breach.

Findings and results have great implications for improving service quality and making marketing strategies.

Keywords: online Contract, Compensation, Breach, Online Customers, common law.

Introduction

Contract laws in advanced economies share three core functions: the state develops criteria for determining which promises are legally enforceable, interprets contracts in order to determine the meaning of the parties` promises, and ensures that parties

have an opportunity freely to consent to the promises they make by defining the boundaries of acceptable bargaining behavior. A contract law is more than these core functions, however, and what individuates the contract laws of particular countries is what constitutes the rest. Because parties are free to make their own deals, the rest of a contract law plays a residual role; that is, the law is the rules and standards that specify by default parts of contracts when parties leave them blank. Many scholars believe that filling the gaps is the most important task that private law makers today must perform in order to keep contract law relevant for complex, heterogeneous and evolving economies. In this Article, we challenge that belief.

Our focus is American contract law[1]. Here, the claim that the bulk of contract law is (and should be) comprised of legally created default rules and standards has organized contract law scholarship for the last three decades.[2] In the United States, default rules and standards originate in two ways. Courts necessarily create them in the course of deciding cases. Judicial creations that many courts accept and that last for decades (or more) constitute the common law of contract. In addition, the American Law Institute and the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, private lawmaking groups that we collectively call "drafters," have created default rules and standards for Article 2 of the Uniform Commercial.

Theory and implementations

The first theory applies the familiar distinction between rules and standards to contract issues. A rule, or a "rule-like" contract term, specifies required behavior in advance of the contracting parties' actions; a standard authorizes a court later to decide whether actions the parties had already taken satisfied the relevant contractual requirement. Illustrating this distinction, a contract term that obligates a seller to repair or replace defective product parts provided the buyer notifies the seller of a defect within 90 days after sale would be a contractual rule because it tells the parties what to do before they begin to implement the contract. If the 90-day notice rule were enacted in a statute, it would be a legal default rule for the same reason. A contract promise to repair or replace defective parts provided the buyer gives the seller reasonable notice of a defect would be a contract standard

[1] Cooper v Ashley & Johnson Motors Ltd. [1997] DCR 170; Puncak Niaga (M) Sdn Bhd v NZ Wheels Sdn Bhd [2012] 1 MLJ 27.

[2] D.Oughton, J.Lowry (2000), Textbook on Consumer Law, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, UK.

because it delegates to a court the question whether the notice the buyer did give was reasonable. Similarly, if the reasonableness requirement were enacted in a statute it would be a legal default standard.

The second theory we make is between "contextual" rules and standards and "trans contextual" rules and standards. In this article, a "context" is an economic environment populated by agents with the same or similar contracting preferences. A context may be as small as the parties to a particular contract, but commonly is larger. For example, parties that trade wheat use contracts with the same or similar delivery terms and storage requirements. Hence, the wheat trade is a "context." [3] Returning to the illustration above, the term requiring notice of defects within a specified time is contextual because parties in different industries likely would choose different periods within which to make claims. An efficient notice term turns on how easy a defect is to discover, the nature of the goods, the seller's ability to repair or replace and similar factors. Thus, because wheat is perishable while machines are not, the contract term requiring notice of a defect commonly differs between the wheat context and machine context.

The Historical Roots of Default Rules and Standards

A. *The Roots of the Default Rules of the Common Law.*

Although now firmly entrenched in doctrine, the contemporary understanding of state supplied default rules and standards is a relatively recent development in contract law.[4] At early common law, there was no cause of action for breach of an informal (unsealed) executory promise. The only actions available for breach of contract were the action for debt and the action in covenant (for promises under seal).[2]

B. Rules versus Standards: The Contrasting Approaches of Law and Equity

The emergence of a set of general, definitive default rules through the process of common law adjudication was mirrored by a parallel development: the invocation of broad standards by courts of equity to soften the sharp edges of the common law.

[3] F.C.Leong, Halina (2010)," Personal Data Protection Act 2010", Legal Herald, July-September 2010.p3

[4] IDC Malaysia (2011), "Southeast Asia e-commerce sales marketing & market analysis: December 2011 Update, http://www.idc.com.my (10 February 2012).

The English common law applied two different sets of doctrines to interpret a disputed contract.

C. Rules and Standards in American Contract Law.

The system of trans contextual standards created by the Chancery has left an indelible impression on contemporary American contract law. The division between the common law courts and the court of Chancery was a barrier between two incompatible legal regimes. But in the nineteenth century the Chancery was eliminated and law and equity were merged in both England and the United States. The result was an uncomfortable combination of legal rules and equitable standards; and it was this awkward amalgam that formed the matrix of American contract law.

The Anglo-American nineteenth century contract law contained relatively few default rules and these rules had a particular character: they could be applied almost everywhere. Thus, the rule that an acceptance had to mirror the offer could be applied just by comparing the offer and the acceptance, whatever the content of those communications. Twentieth century commentators and, largely in consequence of their views, the drafters who embarked on the default rule project believed that there were too few common law rules given the complexity of modern contracting behavior. Also, when a rule was apt, courts often applied the rule rigidly, without an appreciation of the parties' actual intentions or the parties' context. The drafters' project, as the UCC recited, was to "modernize" commercial law by expanding the set of default rules courts could use, and by empowering courts, through the use of standards, to enforce the parties' actual deal rather than the deal that could be inferred only from what the parties wrote down.

The lawyers and academics who began the default rule project misapprehended both the value of the common law process and their capacity to provide useful improvements. The common law of contract was well suited to business behavior just because it was the common law. Because the law was a set of defaults, a rule could exist through time only if later parties in different contexts than the one that constituted the originating case accepted it. Therefore, enduring common law rules have to be trans contextual; that is, they must be satisfactory to parties over broad sections of the economy. The common law of contract has few default rules

because few rules can satisfy the structural requirement that they are (almost) everywhere applicable just because commercial parties (almost) everywhere like them.

Sale of products and services are progressively being executed over the Internet which is a border-less virtual market and the world's greatest contracts center. As indicated by International Data Corporation (IDC), the business income produced by internet business in enlist positive year-to-year development, with $105 billion in 2010 and $144 billion in 2011 [5](IDC World, 2011). The development in web based business is because of the fast ascent in the quantity of PCs and additionally the development of Wi-Fi administrations, broadband and hot-spots in world. This advancement witnesses the rise of another group of shoppers known as e-consumers. Generally, e-buyers allude to the buyer of products and services over electronic frameworks, for example, Internet and other electronic systems. This new group of customers is expanding in number throughout the years as online contracts turn into a pattern and indication of contemporary way of life.

A review done by PayPal for the year 2010 on 400 clients who utilized IT facilities to pay online demonstrates that World has spent over RM1.8 billion to buy products and acquire services on the web [6](Nazrin, 2012). However, unlike conventional strategy, web based contracts does not include face to face correspondence, and contracts of transaction are not made on paper. It is a separation exchange which gives no open door for consumers to look at the great and to know the providers and their business places. This paperless and separation exchange conceivably raises more complex buyer issues in an offer of products which not just examine the way the law manages them but there are likewise new issues which must be resolved successfully. Receiving the technique for content examination, this paper is going to analyze the current World law.

An Overview of E-contract

[5] IDC Malaysia (2011), "Southeast Asia e-commerce sales marketing & market analysis: December 2011 Update, http://www.idc.com.my (10 February 2012).

[6] Nazrin (2010), "PayPal study breaks down Malaysians online spending habits", PC.COM Magazine, www.liveaatpc.com (10 February 2012).

E-commerce has been expanded with the contemplation of some principled targets. The advantages of E-commerce are countless and it relies upon every member's motivation. A matter of fact, E-commerce conveys many advantages to both dealers and shoppers. For merchants, online exchange brings more prominent effectiveness, expanded responsiveness and decreases cost. It empowers little organizations and newcomers available to develop their range a long way past what was already conceivable. Customers likewise remain to pick up from more extensive decision, expanded accessibility of particular items, more complete item data, bring down expenses and more responsive administrations [7](Rachagan, 1997). Therefore, this medium of exchange has made the world a small place and has empowered business to be completed 24-hours a day in an apparently border-less environment. However, web based contracts in World is not as well-known as in Western nations but it is quickly getting up to speed.

Regulation for Online contract

The expansion of web based business needs bolster from the law so as to manufacture trust and certainty among the shoppers. As the points of buyer security law and arrangement are apparently to provide shoppers with assurance from, and rights against, makers and providers of broken or deficient merchandise and services, along these lines the law must be created to suit this new business environment and difficulties. Generally, e-commerce resembles any commercial business transaction. The real contrasts lie in the way that current lawful theories may never again be satisfactory to manage the issue that rose with the advancement of internet business. New types of dangers to buyer assurance call for new defensive rules [8](Long, 2001). The insurance ought to be adjusted to address the issues of innovative development and its suggestion on the customers. It is in reality critical to manufacture buyers' trust and trust in the data interstates through authoritative intercession and without uncertainty that sufficient insurance of e-commerce rights will positively affect the advancement of web based business

[7] Sothi Rachagan (1997), "Consumer law in Malaysia: The need for change", paper presented in National Seminar on Consumer Law in Malaysia: A Reformation, UKM Bangi, 1997.

[8] Lee Sai Long (2001), "Malaysian Cyber laws: Issues and Recent Development", Proceeding of the Asia Pacific Management Conference, 77-84.

itself. In this way, an administrative system to guarantee e-commerce protection is basic and essential.

For example in World, The essential enactment overseeing e-commerce exchanges is the Electronic Commerce Act 2006 (ECA) under the Ministry of Domestic Trade, Cooperatives and Consumerism. The ECA essentially accommodates legitimate acknowledgment of electronic messages in business exchanges, the utilization of the electronic messages to satisfy lawful prerequisites and viable and encourage business exchanges using electronic means. In any case, the ECA contains no arrangements on how electronic exchanges should be possible in a safe and secured environment which is significant for buyer assurance. In the interim the general law on contracts and an offer of merchandise contracts can be found in the Contract Act 1950 and the Sale of Goods Act 1957. Regardless these pre-freedom laws are fairly obsolete and have not yet been corrected to suit present day business exchanges. The primary statute on consumer assurance in World is the Consumer Protection Act 1999 (CPA). The CPA was at first inapplicable to any exchange exchanges by electronic means however the law has been altered in 2007. The insurance for e-customers has been further reinforced as of late by the order of the Consumer Protection Regulations 2012.

In addition, the Direct Sales and Anti-Pyramid Scheme Act 1993 has additionally been revised in 2010 to incorporate web based business in the meaning of mail order deals. These demonstrations are firmly identified with the goals of this paper to highlight legitimate assurance for e-purchasers and will be examined in later sub-points in more detail. What's more World has additionally built up an arrangement of laws that manages exercises that occur in the internet for the most part. These digital laws incorporate the Computer Crime Act 1997 and Digital Signature Act 1997. The Computer Crimes Act 1997 (CCA) essentially makes unapproved access to PCs, projects, information and other IT data an offense. Different offenses arranged under the CCA incorporate unapproved access to PC material, unapproved alteration to the substance of any PC, unapproved access with the goal of submitting or encouraging further offense and wrongful correspondence to the method of access. Those demonstrations are criminalized and disciplines are accommodated such acts. This law is regarded imperative because of the expansion in PC related exchanges particularly budgetary exchanges. With regards to web

based contracts, the CCA to some degree ensures e-customers' enthusiasm as to a security of online installment. Another Act that could ensure e-purchasers regarding securing installments in internet contracts is the Digital Signature Act (DSA) 1997.

Regulation for E-consumer in a Sale of Goods Contract

A consumer alludes to a man who buy products or utilize services. For all intents and purposes everybody is a consumer in somehow of different products and ventures provided by others including open division organizations. However, with the end goal of buyer assurance law, the expression "purchaser" has a narrow meaning and it is characterized with regards to business or business dealings between the customer and the supplier of products or services. Segment 3 (1) of the Consumer Protection Act 1999 characterizes a buyer as a man who, firstly, secures or uses products or services of a kind normally gained for individual, residential or family reason, utilize or consumption, secondly, does not obtain or utilize the merchandise or services, or hold himself out as securing or utilizing the products or services fundamentally with the end goal of Resupplying them in exchange or Consuming them over the span of an assembling procedure or on account of merchandise, repairing or treating, in exchange of different products or installations on land. For instance, in a legal case of NZ Wheels Sdn Bhd v/s Puncak Niaga (M) Sdn Bhd (2012) 1 MLJ 27[9], the court decided that a privately owned business who purchased Mercedes Benz vehicle to be utilized as organization's car was a consumer.

Advancement of the law identifying with consumer assurance is the appearance of a developing social concern to secure the powerless and those not able to deal with themselves in a cutting edge economy. An imbalance of bartering force is the primary defense for extra assurance to this helpless group of consumers[10] (Oughton, Lowry, 2000). Clearly customers are in a frail haggling position contrasted with the all the more effective provider of products and enterprises because of the difference of information and assets. Additionally, shoppers likewise should be secured formal sorts of uncalled for exchange practices of market

[9] Cooper v Ashley & Johnson Motors Ltd. [1997] DCR 170; Puncak Niaga (M) Sdn Bhd v NZ Wheels Sdn Bhd [2012] 1 MLJ 27.

[10] D.Oughton, J.Lowry (2000), Textbook on Consumer Law, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, UK.

administrators, for example, insurance against offer of damaged, substandard and perilous items and different deceitful exchanging practices, for example, false commercial, misdirecting value sign, bogus portrayal of products and so forth.

Customers are additionally confronting issues of deficient data and constrained decision to practice a reasonable item purchasing choice. Consumer security laws are along these lines intended to guarantee reasonable exchange rivalry by anticipating organizations that take part in misrepresentation or other out of line practices from picking up favorable position over customers. The law especially concentrates on an offer of products get; the most widely recognized sort of agreement went into by shoppers in their day by day life. Under the current Worldn Sale of Goods Act (SOGA) (1957)(revised, 1989), a contract of sale of goods including on the internet sales are categorized into 3 types, i.e. commercial sales, which describes a contract of sale of goods between a commercial seller and a buyer for only business purposes (B2B), consumer sales, which describes a contract of sale of goods between commercial seller and buyer only for the personal purpose or domestic purpose (B2C) and lastly, private sales, which describes a contract of sale of goods between to common people private purposes (C2C).

Compensation Mechanism for E-contract

E-buyers who are disappointed with online dealings can record their cases in the Tribunal for Consumer Claims (TCC) which was set up to give rapid, modest and casual compensation of buyers' grievances [(11)](Amin & Abu Bakar, 2010). It was built up under Part XII of the CPA. The TCC appeared on 15 November, 1999, a similar date the CPA was upheld. The essential capacity of the TCC is to hear and decide claims documented by buyers[(12)]. This incorporates a claim identifying with supply of merchandise, supply of services and uncalled for exchange practices, for example, deceiving promotions, misdirecting value signs and so forth. The claim can be maximum RM25, 000. Additionally, the TCC may likewise engage a buyer protestation under different statutes inside the domain of the Ministry of Domestic Trade, Cooperatives and Consumerism, for example, a contract for hire-purchase, coordinate selling and fraudulent business schemes.

(11) Abu Bakar Munir (1999), Cyber Law: Policies and Challenges, Butterworth, Kuala Lumpur.

(12) Wu Min Aun (2001), Consumer Protection Act 1999: Supply of Goods and Services, Longman: Malaysia.

The purview of the Tribunal has as of late been reached out to any "cases in regard of all merchandise and services for which no change component is accommodated under some other law" (section-98). As it were the TCC may likewise hear and decide buyer asserts in different matters outside the CPA the length of it is not explicitly prohibited from its jurisdiction. An e-consumer may hold up his claim by finishing a particular shape which can be acquired free from the Tribunals. An online dealer who debate the claim must record his protection together with counter-assert (assuming any) inside 14 days after the administration of the announcement of claim. The Tribunals will then issue a notice of listening to both parties at the very least 14 days before the date of hearing. However, before a legitimate trial is directed, the Tribunals would evaluate whether it is proper for the groups to arrange a concurred settlement in connection to the claim. A concurred settlement by the groups should be endorsed, recorded and viewed as an honor of the Tribunal.

6. Conclusion

A web based contract is still new concept in World, however, it has experienced quick advancement. While web based business law is consistently creating and developing, there are still various territories where there is no unique enactment to manage e-customer security in an offer of products contract. Evidently there are various related consumer assurance enactments which can be connected with regards to web based business in World, the issue stays in the matter of whether the present laws suit and provide food for the requirements of e-buyers. The obsolete enactment, for example, the Contracts Act 1950 and the Sale of Goods Act 1957 are clearly needing major amendments to suit current business hones. To a huge degree, e-buyers have similar needs and wants like a regular consumer.

Nonetheless, from various perspectives, e-buyers are more helpless as they normally can't look at the item preceding buying it and may not know their identity purchasing from. In light of this, it could be recommended that the requirement for "trust" is considerably more prominent in web based business than in regular exchange. Therefore, the law assumes a vital part in making customer confide in online business. There has been major authoritative change and advancement in the region of e-customer protection in World for as far back as couple of years. The

present law on e-consumer security is not extremely a long way behind the assurance accessible in created nations, for example, the USA and European countries. In any case, there are different parts of e-consumer assurance that yet to be managed, for example, spontaneous business messages (anti-spam law). Most importantly, current local law would not be adequate to secure e-buyers in instances of cross-fringe online exchange transactions. Assist change to existing controls is clearly expected to give a gauge to national buyer assurance in online business in order to reinforce consumer certainty and also to guarantee satisfactory security for the e-consumers.

References

A. Shuhaiza, Izawati Wook (2010). Bait and Switch tactics in advertising under Malaysian law, (1) MLJ i. (12)

Abu Bakar Munir (1999), Cyber Law: Policies and Challenges, Butterworth, Kuala Lumpur. (17, 19)

C.T.N.Ling, G.K.Jing, N.T.Hock and L.Y.San (2012), "Predictors of business to consumers electronic commerce usage among online consumers in Malaysia", Proceeding of the 2nd International Conference on Business and Economic Research (2nd ICBER 2012).

Cooper v Ashley & Johnson Motors Ltd. [1997] DCR 170; Puncak Niaga (M) Sdn Bhd v NZ Wheels Sdn Bhd [2012] 1 MLJ 27. (1)

D.Oughton, J.Lowry (2000), Textbook on Consumer Law, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, UK. (13)

F.C.Leong, Halina (2010)," Personal Data Protection Act 2010", Legal Herald, July-September 2010.

IDC Malaysia (2011), "Southeast Asia e-commerce sales marketing & market analysis: December 2011 Update, http://www.idc.com.my (10 February 2012). (7)

Lee Sai Long (2001), "Malaysian Cyber laws: Issues and Recent Development", Proceeding of the Asia Pacific Management Conference, 77-84. (9)

Naemah Amin (2008), "Legal Protection for Consumers in Distance Selling Transaction", paper presented in 12th National MACFEA Conference, Residence Hotel, UNITEN. (14)

Naemah Amin, Elistina Abu Bakar (2010), ADR for Consumers: An Appraisal of the Tribunal for Consumer Claims Malaysia, in Mohammad Naqib & Ashgar, Mediation in Malaysia: The Law and Pracrice, Lexis Nexis, Kuala Lumpur, 171-185. (14)

Naemah Amin (2007), Product Liability in Malaysia, Sweet & Maxwell, Kuala Lumpur. (14)

Naemah Amin, Roshazlizawati Mohd Nor. (2011), "Issues on essential elements of formation of e-contract in Malaysia: E-consumers' perspective", Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 7(13), 2219-2229. (14)

Nazrin (2010), "PayPal study breaks down Malaysians online spending habits", PC.COM Magazine, www.liveaatpc.com (10 February 2012).(8)

Paynter,J., Lim,J. (2002), "Drivers and Impediments for e-commerce in Malaysia", Malaysian Journal of Library and Information Science, vol.6, no.2, 2002, 1-19.(15)

Quirk. P., Forder. J. (2003), Electronic Commerce and the Law, 2nd ed., Wiley, Australia.

Shireen Ng., Ainin Sulaiman (2001), "A Comparative Study on E-commerce Security Policies", Proceeding of the Asia Pacific Management Conference, 27-36.

Sothi Rachagan (1997), "Consumer law in Malaysia: The need for change", paper presented in National Seminar on Consumer Law in Malaysia: A Reformation, UKM Bangi, 1997.(9)

Wu Min Aun (2001), Consumer Protection Act 1999: Supply of Goods and Services, Longman: Malaysia.(10)